Optimal Process Mean Setting Under the Quality and Cost

Chung-Ho Chen

Department of Management and Information Technology Southern Taiwan University of Science and Technology, Tainan, Taiwan Tel: (+886) 6-2533131 ext. 4129, Email: chench@stust.edu.tw

Abstract. In this study, the author considers the optimal process mean setting problem with process adjust ment cost and product quality. Assume that the process adjustment cost is proportional to the square of pr ocess mean and reciprocal of variance. Taguchi's asymmetric quadratic quality loss function is adopted for measuring the product quality. The uniform and triangular distributions of quality characteristic are address ed in the formulation of mathematical model. The optimal process mean will be obtained by minimizing t he expected total cost of product. Finally, the numerical example and sensitivity analysis of parameters are provided for illustration.

Keywords: process mean, asymmetric quadratic quality loss function, uniform distribution, triangular distribution

1. INTRODUCTION

Economic selection of process mean is an important problem for modern statistical process control (SPC). The optimal setting of this value usually has the significant effect on the expected total cost/profit per unit product. Recently, there are many works addressing the above problem with the integration evaluation about quality, production, inventory, and reliability. Taguchi (1986) redefined the product quality as the loss of society when the product is shipped to the customer. If the product quality has the minimum bias and variability, then the product reaches the optimal level. For the modern quality management, one addresses the six-sigma method for quality promotion and improvement.

Taguchi's (1986) quadratic quality loss function has been successfully applied in some topics of quality control. It connects with the methodology of process control and quality improvement. Previous researchers, Chen (2005) Chen and Lai (2007), Darwish (2009, 2013), Darwish and Abdulmalek (2012), Darwish and Duffuaa, (2010), Duffuaa and El-Ga'aly (2013a, 2013b), Feng and Kapur (2006a, 2006b), Jeang (2010, 2011a, 2011b), Jeang and Lin (2014), Kapur (1988), Kapur and Cho (1994, 1996), Kapur and Wang (1987), and Sin et al. (2010) have addressed that some economic settings about specification limits, control chart, process mean, and manufacturing quantity.

The normal quality characteristic with unknown process mean and variance is usually assumed in the SPC. Modern product control and design always addresses the predictive method for determining the optimum product quality. The statistical and economic designs are two available methods for obtaining the optimum product parameters. In this study, the author considers the optimal process mean setting with process adjustment cost and product quality loss. One presents a mathematical model with the uniform/triangular quality characteristics and asymmetric quadratic quality loss of product within the tolerance zone for determining the optimal process mean. The major contribution of this paper is to propose an integrated quality model by considering the optimal process parameters and product quality cost.

2. MATHEMATICAL MODEL

There are two important parameters affecting the product quality, i.e., process mean (μ) and variance (σ^2). Huang (2001) considered one of process adjustment cost, denoted by $C(\mu, \sigma) = \beta_1 \mu^2 + \frac{\beta_2}{\sigma^2}$, where β_1 and β_2 are positive constants. The above equation means that the cost of setting the process mean of quality characteristic is proportional to μ^2 , while the cost for controlling the process variance of quality characteristic is proportional to $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}$.

2.1 Uniform Quality Characteristic

Consider the product characteristic, *Y*, is uniformed with U(m-T+x, m+T+x), where *m* is the design target, *x* is the positive distance of the manufacturing target from the design target and *T* is the tolerance zone. Hence, the probability density function of *Y* is $f(y) = \frac{1}{2T}$, $m-T+x \le y \le m+T+x$. In this work, one adopts the asymmetric quadratic quality loss function within the tolerance zone for measuring product quality. Hence, the average quality loss of product per item is

$$L(x,T) = \int_{m-T+x}^{m} f(y)k_1(m-y)^2 dy + \int_{m}^{m+T+x} f(y)k_2(y-m)^2 dy$$
$$= [k_1(T-x)^3 + k_2(T+x)^3]/6T$$
(1)

where k_1 is the quality loss coefficient when $Y \le m$ and k_2 is the quality loss coefficient when Y > m.

Hence, the expected total cost of product including the process adjustment cost and quality loss is defined as

ETC
=
$$C(\mu, \sigma) + L(x, T)$$

= $\beta_1 \mu^2 + \frac{\beta_2}{\sigma^2} + L(x, T)$ (2)
= $\beta_1 (m+x)^2 + \frac{3\beta_2}{T^2} + [k_1 (T-x)^3 + k_2 (T+x)^3]/6T$

In order to determine the optimal x value, one takes the first and second order derivatives of equation (2) about x. We

have
$$\frac{dETC}{dx} = 2\beta_1(m+x) + [k_2(T+x)^2 - k_1(T-x)^2]/2T$$

and $\frac{d^2ETC}{dx^2} = 2\beta_1 + k_1 + k_2 + x(k_2 - k_1)/T$. The

second order derivative of equation (2) about x is positive when $0 \le x \le T(2\beta_1 + k_1 + k_2)/(k_1 - k_2)$ and $k_1 > k_2$.

Let the above first-order derivative be zero. Hence, the optimal x with global minimum ETC is $x^* = \frac{T(k_1 + k_2 + 2\beta_1) - \sqrt{T^2(k_1 + \beta_1)(k_2 + \beta_1) + \beta_1 Tm(\beta_1 - \beta_2)}}{k_1 - k_2}.$

We have the optimal process mean value $= m + x^*$.

2.2 Triangular Quality Characteristic

The sum of two mutually independent uniform random variables follows a triangular distribution. The probability density function of Y is

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{2(y-a)}{(b-a)(c-a)}, & a \le y \le b \\ \frac{2(c-y)}{(c-b)(c-a)}, & b \le y \le c \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$
(3)

where a is the minimum value, b is the mode, and c is the maximum value of Y, respectively. It can be shown that the expected value and variance of Y are

$$\mu = \frac{a+b+c}{3}$$
 and $\sigma^2 = \frac{a^2+b^2+c^2-ab-ac-bc}{18}$. Let

a = m-T+x, b = m+x, and c = m+T+x. We have

$$\mu = m + x$$
 and $\sigma^2 = \frac{T^2}{6}$.

One adopts the asymmetric quadratic quality loss function within the tolerance zone for measuring product quality. Hence, the average quality loss of product per item is

$$L(x,T) = \int_{m-T+x}^{m} f(y)k_1(m-y)^2 dy + \int_{m}^{m+T+x} f(y)k_2(y-m)^2 dy$$
$$= [k_1(T-x)^4 + k_2(T+x)^4]/12T$$
(4)

where k_1 is the quality loss coefficient when $Y \le m$ and k_2 is the quality loss coefficient when Y > m.

Hence, the expected total cost of product including the process adjustment cost and quality loss is defined as

$$ETC = C(\mu, \sigma) + L(x, T)$$

= $\beta_1 \mu^2 + \frac{\beta_2}{\sigma^2} + L(x, T)$ (5)
= $\beta_1 (m+x)^2 + \frac{6\beta_2}{T^2} + [k_1(T-x)^4 + k_2(T+x)^4]/12T$

In order to determine the optimal x value, one takes the first and second order derivatives of equation (5) about x. We have

$$\frac{dETC}{dx} = 2\beta_1(m+x) + [k_2(T+x)^3 - k_1(T-x)^3]/3T$$

and $\frac{d^2ETC}{dx^2} = 2\beta_1 + [k_1(T-x)^2 + k_2(T+x)^2] > 0$. The

second order derivative of equation (5) about x is always positive.

Let the above first-order derivative be zero. Hence, the optimal *x* with global minimum *ETC* by solving the following equation:

$$t_1 x^3 + t_2 x^2 + t_3 x + t_4 = 0 ag{6}$$

where

$$t_1 = k_1 + k_2, t_2 = 3T(k_2 - k_1), t_3 = 3T(k_1T + k_2T + 2\beta_1),$$

and $t_4 = T(k_2T^2 - k_1T^2 + 6\beta_1m).$

By adopting Newtown's method, one can obtain the approximate optimal x value. We have the optimal process mean value = $m + x^*$.

3. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

Assume that some parameters are as follows: $k_1 = 200, k_2 = 20, \beta_1 = 5, \beta_2 = 2, T = 5, \text{ and } m = 5$. For the uniform quality characteristic, the optimal x value is $x^* = 4.233$. Table 1 lists the sensitivity analysis for parameters in the uniform distribution. From Table 1, we have two observations: (1) the optimal x value increases as the values of k_2 and T increase; (2) the optimal x value decreases as the values of β_1 and m increase. This result shows that the positive distance of the manufacturing target from the design target is affected by the quality loss coefficient, tolerance zone, cost coefficient of process mean, and design target.

For the triangular quality characteristic, the optimal x value is $x^* = 1.718$. Table 2 lists the sensitivity analysis for parameters in the triangular distribution. From Table 2, we have two observations: (1) the optimal x value increases as the values of k_1 and T increase; (2) the optimal x value decreases as the

values of k_2, β_1 , and $m_{\text{increase.}}$ This result also

shows that the positive distance of the manufacturing target from the design target is affected by the quality loss coefficient, tolerance zone, cost coefficient of process mean, and design target.

From Tables1-2, one also has the following conclusion: the optimal x value of triangular distribution is smaller than that of uniform distribution. This result meets the triangular distribution with smaller variance than that of uniform distribution.

Table	1:	The	sensi	tivity	analysis	of	parameters	for
		uni	form	distri	bution.			

<i>k</i> ₁	x*
400	4.215
300	4.196
200	4.233
100	4.688
k ₂	<i>x</i> *
40	4.684
30	4.424
20	4.233
10	4.120
β_1	x*
10	4.167
8	4.188
6	4.216
4	4.252
Т	<i>x</i> *
10	8.630
8	6.870
6	5.112
4	3.354
т	<i>x</i> *
10	4.077
8	4.138
6	4.201
4	4.265

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the author proposes the uniform/ triangular quality characteristic of product with asymmetric quadratic quality loss function within the tolerance zone for determining the optimal process mean. The optimal process mean will be determined by minimizing the expected total cost of product including the process adjustment cost and quality loss.

The management implication of this mathematical model is that it can provide industry/business application for promoting the product/service quality assurance for the customer. The practical application can be adopted in the personalized product/service for increasing the quality performance and cost reduction. The extension of this method to the integrated production, inventory, quality and reliability model may be left for further study.

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} 300 & 2.017 \\ \hline 200 & 1.718 \\ \hline 100 & 1.169 \\ \hline k_2 & x^* \\ \hline 40 & 1.238 \\ \end{array}$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
40 1.238	
40 1.238	
20 1.444	
30 1.444	
20 1.718	
10 2.135	
β_1 x^*	
10 1.613	
8 1.654	
6 1.696	
4 1.740	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
10 3.587	
8 2.845	
6 2.097	
4 1.333	
m x [*]	
10 1.638	
8 1.670	
6 1.702	
4 1.734	

Table 2: The sensitivity analysis of parameters for
triangular distribution.

REFERENCES

- Chen, C.H. (2005) Rectifying inspection plans applied in the determination of the optimum process mean. *International Journal of Information and Management Sciences*, **16**, 85-95.
- Chen, C.H. and Lai, M.T. (2007) Economic manufacturing quantity, optimum process mean, and economic specification limits setting under the rectifying inspection plan. *European Journal of Operational Research*, **183**, 336-344.
- Darwish, M.A. (2009) Economic selection of process mean for single-vendor single-buyer supply chain. *European Journal of Operational Research*, **199**, 162-169.
- Darwish, M.A., Abdulmalek, F., and Alkhedher, M. (2013) Optimal selection of process mean for a stochastic inventory model. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 226, 481-490.
- Darwish, M.A. and Abdulmalek, F. (2012) An integrated single-vendor single-buyer targeting problem with time-dependent process mean. *International Journal of Logistics and Management*, **13**, 51-64.

- Darwish, M.A. and Duffuaa, S.O. (2010) A mathematical model for the joint determination of optimal process and sampling plan parameters. *Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering*, **16**, 181–189.
- Duffuaa, S.O. and El-Ga'aly, A. (2013a) A multi-objective mathematical optimization model for process targeting using 100% inspection policy. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, **37**, 1545-1552.
- Duffuaa, S.O. and El-Ga'aly, A. (2013b) A multi-objective optimization model for process targeting using sampling plans. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 64, 309-317.
- Feng, Q. and Kapur, K.C. (2006a) Economic development of specifications for 100% inspection based on asymmetric quality loss functions. *IIE Transactions*, 38, 659-669.
- Feng, Q. and Kapur, K.C. (2006b) Design of specifications for 100% inspection with imperfect measurement systems. *Quality Technology & Quantitative Management*, 3, 127-144.
- Huang, Y. F. (2001) Trade-off between quality and cost. *Quality & Quantity*, **35**, 265-276.
- Jeang, A. (2010) Production order quantity for economical and quality consideration. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, **224**, 1277-1295.
- Jeang, A. (2011a) Simultaneous determination of production lot size and process parameters under process deterioration and process breakdown. *Omega*, 40, 774-781.
- Jeang, A. (2011b) Economic production order quantity and quality. *International Journal of Production Research*, 49, 1753-1783.
- Jeang, A. and Lin, Y.K. (2014) Product and process parameters determination for quality and cost. *International Journal of Systems Science*, **45**, 2042-2054.
- Kapur, K.C. (1988) An approach for development of specifications for quality improvement. *Quality Engineering*, 1, 63-77.
- Kapur, K.C. and Cho, B.R. (1994) Economic design and development of specifications. *Quality Engineering*, 6, 401-417.
- Kapur, K.C. and Cho, B.R. (1996) Economic design of specification region for multiple quality characteristics. *IIE Transactions*, 28, 237-248.
- Kapur, K.C. and Wang, C.J. (1987) Economic design of specifications based on Taguchi's concept of quality loss function. *In DeVor, R.E. and Kappor, S.G. (eds.), Quality: Design, Planning, and Control,* The Winter Annual Meeting of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Boston, U.S.A., 23-36.

Shin, S., Kongsuwon, P., and Cho, B.R. (2010)

Development of the parametric tolerance modeling and optimization schemes and cost-effective solutions. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 27, 1728-1741.

Taguchi, G. (1986) *Introduction to Quality Engineering*. Asian Productivity Organization, Tokyo, Japan.